

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/660,222	09/10/2003	Gelu Voicu	CAT-12502-1	7704
22888	7590 03/24/2005		EXAMINER	
BEVER HO	FFMAN & HARMS,	LAUTURE, JOSEPH J		
1432 CONCANNON BLVD., BLDG. G			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	E, CA 94550		2819	

DATE MAILED: 03/24/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				H 🕆			
	-	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/660,222	VOICU ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Joseph Lauture	2819				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	on appears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address	••			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicate period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory tree to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, be reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	FION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a tion. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thy period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO by statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communic BBANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.			
Status							
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	24 January 2005					
· —	_	This action is non-final.					
3)□	, —		tters, prosecution as to the merit	ts is			
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>37-113</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠)⊠ Claim(s) <u>37-113</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.					
10)⊠	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 10 September 2003 is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-152	2.			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•				
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	oreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority docu	uments have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority docu	uments have been received in a	Application No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of th	e priority documents have beer	n received in this National Stage	!			
	application from the International E	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the certified copies no	t received.				
Attachmen	• •	🗂					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9)		Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date				
3) 🔲 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/ er No(s)/Mail Date		Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/660,222

Art Unit: 2819

Response to Amendments

Applicant's amendment filed on 01/24/2005 has been entered.

Applicant's arguments have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Double Patenting

A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

Claims 37-113 are provisionally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 37-113 of copending Application No. 10/660232. This is a <u>provisional</u> double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Application/Control Number: 10/660,222

Art Unit: 2819

CONTACT INFORMATION

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph Lauture, whose telephone number is (571) 272-1805. The examiner can normally be reached Monday thru Friday between 9:30 am and 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Tokar can be reached at (571) 272-1812. The fax number for the organization to which this application is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the group receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1562.

Joseph Lauture Group: 2819

Date: 03/15/2005

PEGUY JEANPIERRE
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 3